Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online ISSN 1600-5368 Editors: W. Clegg and D. G. Watson

# $\alpha$ -Dichlorobis(2-phenylazo-4,6-dimethylpyridine)ruthenium(II) chloroform solvate

Huub Kooijman, Anna C. G. Hotze, Sabrine E. Caspers, Jaap G. Haasnoot, Jan Reedijk and Anthony L. Spek

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases or the like is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

Huub Kooijman et al. •  $[RuCl_2(C_{13}H_{13}N_3)_2]$ 

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Huub Kooijman,<sup>a</sup>\* Anna C. G. Hotze,<sup>b</sup>‡ Sabrine E. Caspers,<sup>b</sup> Jaap G. Haasnoot,<sup>b</sup> Jan Reedijk<sup>b</sup> and Anthony L. Spek<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands, and <sup>b</sup>Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

‡ Current address: Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of NMR Spectroscopy, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail: h.kooijman@chem.uu.nl

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.083 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The crystal structure of  $[RuCl_2(C_{13}H_{13}N_3)_2]$  contains complexes on general positions and complexes located on crystallographic twofold rotation axes. The three independent 2-phenylazo-4,6-dimethylpyridine ligands all have the same conformation.

a-Dichlorobis(2-phenylazo-4,6-dimethyl-

pyridine)ruthenium(II) chloroform solvate

Received 19 January 2004 Accepted 21 January 2004 Online 30 January 2004

#### Comment

Several ruthenium complexes are known for their cytotoxic, antitumor or antimetastatic activity (Clarke, 2002; Reedijk, 2003). The isomeric dichlorobis(2-phenylazopyridine)ruthenium(II) complexes [Ru(azpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] are under renewed investigation due to their cytotoxic activity in human tumor cell lines (Hotze et al., 2004; Velders et al., 2000). In order to find structure-activity relationships for this type of ruthenium complexes, methylated azpy derivatives have also been synthesized and the corresponding ruthenium complexes have been subsequently tested for their cytotoxic behavior (Hotze et al., 2004). Besides ligands with only one methyl substituent, a ligand with two methyl groups, 2-phenylazo-4,6-dimethylpyridine (dazpy), has been used in these studies. This ligand has been reported in the literature in the synthesis of molybdenum complexes (Ackermann et al., 1996, 2003), but has not been studied in complexes with ruthenium. Crystal structures of transition metal complexes with dazpy or other azpy derivatives with two methyl substituents were not found in the Cambridge Structural Database (November 2003 release; Allen, 2002).



The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), consists of one Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> complex located on a general position and one half of a complex, with Ru located on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis. Displacement ellipsoid plots of the complexes are given in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. In both complexes, the dazpy ligand is coordinated to ruthenium in the so-called  $\alpha$  configuration, meaning that the coordinating pairs of Cl, N<sub>py</sub> and N<sub>azo</sub> are in *cis, trans* and *cis* orientations, respectively. The Ru atoms adopt an octahedral geometry that is somewhat distorted due to the small bite angle of the dazpy ligand.

The three independent dazpy ligands are in the same conformation, as is indicated by the acute angles between the

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography



#### Figure 1

View of the Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> complex located on a general position, with the atom and ring numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



View of the Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> complex located on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis, with the atom and ring numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (i)  $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ .]

least-squares planes through their six-membered rings:  $\angle(A,B)$ = 48.31 (12)°,  $\angle (C,D) = 41.22 (13)^{\circ}$  and  $\angle (E,F) = 45.26 (13)^{\circ}$ (capitals refer to the ring systems as labeled in Figs. 1 and 2).

The independent Ru complexes adopt the same overall conformation, as is illustrated by the superposition of the complexes shown in Fig. 3. The fit was carried out by the quaternion method (Mackay, 1984) applied to the non-H atoms of the complexes. An r.m.s. deviation of 0.140 Å was found.

## **Experimental**

The dazpy ligand was synthesized according to a literature method for the synthesis of 2-phenylazopyridine (Krause & Krause, 1980), but where aminopyridine and nitrosobenzene were used in the literature synthesis, 4,6-dimethylaminopyridine and nitrosobenzene were used for the preparation of the title compound. Crystals of the title compound appeared after slow evaporation of the filtrate of the compound  $\gamma$ -[Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>], synthesized according to the literature synthesis (Goswami et al., 1981) of  $\gamma$ -[Ru(azpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]. It should be noted, however, that the title compound has also been obtained using the literature procedure (Bao et al., 1988) for  $\alpha$ -[Ru(azpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] and  $\beta$ - $[Ru(azpy)_2Cl_2]$ . In the former case, a mixture of  $\gamma$ - $[Ru(dazpy)_2Cl_2]$ and  $\alpha$ -[Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] appeared, but in the latter case, strangely enough, almost pure  $\alpha$ -[Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] was formed and hardly any  $\beta$ isomer. Recrystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub> and diethyl ether resulted in



Figure 3 Superposition of the complex containing Ru1 (red) with the complex containing Ru2 (black).

pure α-[Ru(dazpy)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] (yield: 64%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR 300 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (numbering used as in crystal structure):  $\delta$  8.22 (s, 1H, H3), 7.30 (t, 1H, H12), 7.12 (t, 2H, H11/H13), 7.02 (s, 1H, H5), 6.82 (d, 2H, H10/ H14), 2.64 [s, 3H, (C16)H<sub>3</sub>], 2.55 [s, 3H, (C15)H<sub>3</sub>].

#### Crystal data

RuCl <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] ignoring	$D_x = 1.438 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
solvent; see following text	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$I_r = 594.50$	Cell parameters from 1772
Aonoclinic, $C2/c$	reflections
= 26.986 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.0-25.0^{\circ}$
= 18.412 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.79 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
= 17.365 (2) Å	$T = 150 { m K}$
$B = 107.295 \ (9)^{\circ}$	Block, black
$V = 8238.0 (19) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$ mm
Z = 12	

## Data collection

7

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	$R_{\rm int} = 0.051$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -34 \rightarrow 34$
85875 measured reflections	$k = -23 \rightarrow 23$
9406 independent reflections	$l = -22 \rightarrow 21$
7787 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0416P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 9.95P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.083$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
9406 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.72 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
480 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -2.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ru1-Cl112.4417 (7)Ru1-Ru1-Cl122.4279 (8)Ru2-	
Ru1-Cl12 2.4279 (8) Ru2-	N28 1.9712 (19)
	Cl21 2.4139 (7)
Ru1-N1 2.0966 (19) Ru2-	N41 2.091 (2)
Ru1-N8 1.967 (2) Ru2-	N48 1.968 (2)
Ru1-N21 2.101 (2)	
Cl11-Ru1-Cl12 89.39 (2) N8-I	Ru1-N21 99.27 (8)
Cl11-Ru1-N1 80.67 (5) N8-I	Ru1-N28 99.06 (8)
Cl11-Ru1-N8 84.73 (6) N21-	Ru1-N28 76.85 (8)
Cl11-Ru1-N21 104.24 (5) Cl21-	-Ru2–N41 82.34 (6)
Cl11-Ru1-N28 175.88 (6) Cl21-	-Ru2–N48 86.79 (6)
Cl12-Ru1-N1 105.49 (5) Cl21-	$-Ru2-Cl21^{i}$ 88.13 (2)
Cl12-Ru1-N8 173.50 (6) Cl21-	$-Ru2 - N41^{i}$ 103.20 (6)
Cl12-Ru1-N21 79.47 (6) Cl21-	$-Ru2 - N48^{i}$ 174.89 (6)
Cl12-Ru1-N28 86.90 (6) N41-	Ru2-N48 76.66 (8)
N1-Ru1-N8 76.33 (8) N41-	$Ru2-N41^{i}$ 172.41 (7)
N1-Ru1-N21 173.22 (7) N41-	$Ru2-N48^{i}$ 98.29 (8)
N1-Ru1-N28 98.58 (8) N48-	$Ru2-N48^{i}$ 98.30 (8)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .

The methyl groups were refined as rigid groups, allowing for rotation around the C-C bonds. Aromatic H atoms were placed on idealized positions. Isotropic displacement parameters of H atoms were set to 1.5 or 1.2 times the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the carrier atom for methyl H atoms and other H atoms, respectively. The unit cell contains four symmetry-related cavities located on the crystallographic inversion centres at  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0), (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$  $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$ ) and  $(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ . The cavities are filled with disordered solvent, probably chloroform. The volume of each cavity is 149.3 Å<sup>3</sup>. Since disorder models gave unsatisfactory results, the contribution of the disordered solvent to the scattering factors has been taken into account with PLATON/SQUEEZE (Spek, 2003; van der Sluis & Spek, 1990). A total of 48 e was found in each cavity, corresponding to approximately 0.83 chloroform molecule per cavity. Where relevant, the crystal data reported earlier in this paper are without the contribution of the disordered solvent. Taking into account the partial occupation of each cavity with chloroform, the following values are obtained for those parameters:  $C_{26}H_{26}Cl_2N_5Ru \cdot 0.28CHCl_3$ ,  $M_r = 624.35 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \mu = 0.853 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 3798 and  $D_x = 1.51 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ . The deepest hole in the difference Fourier is located at (0.177, 0.162, 0.290) in a small cavity between the Ru complexes which is not large enough to contain any solvent.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997);

molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.

This work was supported in part (ALS) by the Council for the Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO).

#### References

- Ackermann, M. N., Fairbrother, W. G., Amin, N. S., Deodene, C. J., Lamborg, C. M. & Martin, P. T. (1996). J. Organomet. Chem. 523, 145–151.
- Ackermann, M. N., Moore, K. B., Colligan, A. S., Thomas-Wohlever, J. A. & Warren, K. J. (2003). J. Organomet. Chem. 667, 81–89.
- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Bao, T., Krause, K. & Krause, R. A. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 759-761.
- Clarke, M. J. (2002). Coord. Chem. Rev. 232, 69-93.
- Goswami, S., Chakravarty, A. R. & Chakravorty, A. (1981). Inorg. Chem. 29, 2246–2250.
- Hotze, A. C. G., Caspers, S. E., Vos, D. de, Kooijman, H., Spek, A. L., Flamigni, A., Bacac, M., Sava, G., Haasnoot, J. G. & Reedijk, J. (2004). J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. Submitted.
- Krause, R. A. & Krause, K. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 19, 2600–2603.
- Mackay, A. L. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40, 165-166.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Reedijk, J. (2003). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 3611-3616.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sluis, P. van der & Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 194-201.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Velders, A. H., Kooijman, H., Spek, A. L., Haasnoot, J. G., de Vos, D. & Reedijk, J. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 2966–2967.