Received 12 May 2005 Accepted 24 May 2005

Online 31 May 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Duncan M. Tooke,* Martin Lutz and Anthony L. Spek

Bijvoet Centre for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail: d.m.tooke@chem.uu.nl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.019 wR factor = 0.045 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

A second polymorph of *cyclo*-tetrakis{ μ_2 -[(dimethyl-amino)methyl]phenyl- $\kappa^3 C^2$: C^2 ,N}copper tetrahydro-furan solvate

The structure of the title compound, $[Cu_4(C_9H_{12}N)_4]\cdot C_4H_8O$, has previously been reported in the monoclinic space group C2/c. We report here the structure of a second polymorph which crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$. The copper complex is located at a general position but exhibits local S_4 symmetry.

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), has previously been reported crystallizing in the monoclinic space group C2/c, (II) (Janssen *et al.*, 1996). The asymmetric unit of (II) contains one half of the copper complex, the other half being identical by a twofold rotation, and one half-weight tetrahydrofuran (THF) molecule. The THF was found to be disordered about the twofold rotation axis. The solvent-free metal complex has also been reported, in space group $P2_1/c$, (III) [Spek & van Koten, 2004; Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.26) and *Conquest* (Version 1.7) (Allen, 2002) refcode IQOTOX].



The title compound, (I), is a second polymorph of the C2/c structure (Fig. 1) and crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$. The asymmetric unit consists of one complete copper complex and a single disordered THF molecule. The overall crystal structure is quite different from that of the previous polymorph, (II). In (II), the complex and the THF lie on a twofold rotation axis, with the THF molecules lying in the cavities of adjacent copper complexes (Fig. 2). In (I), however, whilst the complexes now line up roughly parallel to the *a* axis, the THF no longer sits between pairs of complexes and is instead displaced to the side (Fig. 3).

The structure of the copper complex is essentially the same as previously reported, with the Cu atoms forming a 'butterfly' arrangement. The Cu–C and Cu–N bond lengths are comparable with those in (II), as are the Cu–C–Cu bond angles, which are once again very acute, at between 70.33 (8) and 72.14 (9)°. The Cu···Cu distances in (II) are very short, at

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

A view of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Both disorder components are shown.





A packing diagram for the $P2_12_12_1$ polymorph, (I), viewed along the *a* axis. The THF molecule is disordered over two positions, with relative occupancies of 73% and 27%; dashed lines indicate the minor disorder component.

2.3728 (3) and 2.3885 (3) Å. Polymorphs (I) and (III) also have very short Cu···Cu distances for Cu atoms that are adjacent in the metallocycle, at between 2.3773 (4) and 2.4118 (4) Å for (I), and between 2.372 (2) and 2.396 (2) Å for (III). However, in both cases, opposite Cu atoms have longer Cu···Cu distances, with one distinctly longer than the other [3.0065 (4) and 3.3810 (4) Å in (I), and 3.040 (2) and 3.380 (3) Å in (III)].

As a consequence of the different packing, the intermolecular $Cu \cdots Cu$ distances are different for the three crystal structures: in (I), the shortest $Cu \cdots Cu$ distance is 8.1780 (4) Å, in (II) it is 8.0630 (6) Å, and in (III) it is 6.508 (2) Å.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained unintentionally during an attempt to synthesize a mixed Cu–Li complex. It was recrystallized from THF, yielding pale-yellow crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction.



Figure 3

A packing diagram for the C2/c polymorph, (II), viewed along the *b* axis. The THF molecule is disordered about the twofold axis.

Crystal data

 $[Cu_4(C_9H_{12}N)_4] \cdot C_4H_8O$ $M_r = 863.05$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ a = 9.4952 (2) Å b = 13.2026 (3) Å c = 31.5269 (6) Å V = 3952.25 (14) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.450$ Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Bruker Nonius KappaCCD areadetector diffractometer ω and φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*MULABS*; Blessing, 1995) $T_{\rm min} = 0.59, T_{\rm max} = 0.72$ 53905 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$
$wR(F^2) = 0.045$
S = 1.04
9022 reflections
496 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
1

Block, pale yellow $0.42 \times 0.24 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ 9022 independent reflections 8758 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.047$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$



Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 1.3-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.16 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 (2) K

Cell parameters from 53905

$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0157P)^{2} + 1.2769P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 3956 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: -0.013 (6)

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions, with C–H distances in the range 0.95–0.99 Å, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ for all other H atoms. The THF molecule was refined using a disorder model, with relative occupancies of 73.1 (4)% and 26.9 (4)%.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.

The authors thank the Council for the Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW- NWO) for their support. Many thanks are due also to Claudia Kronenburg and Gerard van Koten (Utrecht University) for the synthesis of the compound.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Janssen, M. D., Corsten, M. A., Spek, A. L., Grove, D. M. & van Koten, G. (1996). Organometallics, 15, 2810–2820.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Spek, A. L. & van Koten, G. (2004). Private communication to the Cambridge Structural Database.